necessary for her defense. However, the court finds that French is not entitled to reimbursement of the remaining listed expenses² because she has made no showing that they were necessary for her defense.

Additionally, the court finds that French is not entitled to reimbursement of expenses incurred prior to September 8, 2010, as a matter of law. An indigent pro se criminal defendant may request reimbursement for necessary defense expenses only after approval of pro se status. See 18 U.S.C. § 3006A. French did not obtain approval from the court to represent herself until September 8, 2010. Therefore, all expenses French incurred prior to September 8, 2010, are not recoverable.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motion for reimbursement of pro se expenses (Doc. #273) is GRANTED in-part and DENIED in-part in accordance with this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Jennifer French is entitled to reimbursement of necessary defense costs in the amount of \$184.80, in accordance with Section 3600A of Title 18 of the United States Code.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED this 16 day of April, 2011.

LARRY R. HICKS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

² Many of the remaining expenses are simply identified by Jennifer French's own unique filing name including: magicjack; westernunion qkcollect; vonage; walmart; etc. The court is unable to determine what these expenses related to and how they are relevant to Jennifer French's defense.